



# Determining major factors controlling phosphorus removal by promising adsorbents used for lake restoration: A linear mixed model approach

A. Funes <sup>a, b, \*</sup>, F.J. Martínez <sup>a</sup>, I. Álvarez-Manzaneda <sup>a, b</sup>, J.M. Conde-Porcuna <sup>a, b</sup>, J. de Vicente <sup>c</sup>, F. Guerrero <sup>d, e</sup>, I. de Vicente <sup>a, b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Departamento de Ecología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, 18071, Granada, Spain

<sup>b</sup> Instituto del Agua, Universidad de Granada, 18071, Granada, Spain

<sup>c</sup> Departamento de Física Aplicada, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, 18071, Granada, Spain

<sup>d</sup> Departamento de Biología Animal, Biología Vegetal y Ecología, Universidad de Jaén, 23071, Jaén, Spain

<sup>e</sup> Centro de Estudios Avanzados en Ciencias de la Tierra, Universidad de Jaén, 23071, Jaén, Spain

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 22 February 2018

Received in revised form

4 May 2018

Accepted 16 May 2018

Available online 17 May 2018

### Keywords:

Phosphorus

Magnetic particles

Phoslock<sup>®</sup>

CFH-12<sup>®</sup>

Linear mixed model

Lake restoration

## ABSTRACT

Phosphorus (P) removal from lake/drainage waters by novel adsorbents may be affected by competitive substances naturally present in the aqueous media. Up to date, the effect of interfering substances has been studied basically on simple matrices (single-factor effects) or by applying basic statistical approaches when using natural lake water. In this study, we determined major factors controlling P removal efficiency in 20 aquatic ecosystems in the southeast Spain by using linear mixed models (LMMs). Two non-magnetic -CFH-12<sup>®</sup> and Phoslock<sup>®</sup>- and two magnetic materials -hydrous lanthanum oxide loaded silica-coated magnetite (Fe-Si-La) and commercial zero-valent iron particles (FeHQ)- were tested to remove P at two adsorbent dosages. Results showed that the type of adsorbent, the adsorbent dosage and color of water (indicative of humic substances) are major factors controlling P removal efficiency. Differences in physico-chemical properties (i.e. surface charge or specific surface), composition and structure explain differences in maximum P adsorption capacity and performance of the adsorbents when competitive ions are present. The highest P removal efficiency, independently on whether the adsorbent dosage was low or high, were 85–100% for Phoslock and CFH-12<sup>®</sup>, 70–100% for Fe-Si-La and 0–15% for FeHQ. The low dosage of FeHQ, compared to previous studies, explained its low P removal efficiency. Although non-magnetic materials were the most efficient, magnetic adsorbents (especially Fe-Si-La) could be proposed for P removal as they can be recovered along with P and be reused, potentially making them more profitable in a long-term period.

© 2018 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Sewage, industrial discharge and agricultural runoff are the main sources of phosphorus (P) inputs into freshwater bodies, being the main cause of eutrophication (Burkholder, 2000; Carpenter, 2005). At global scale, the accumulation of P in terrestrial and freshwater aquatic ecosystems is 75% greater than pre-industrial levels (Bennett et al., 2001). In-lake addition of adsorbents, with the main objective of reducing lake water P concentration, is a

recommendable lake management tool when the external P load has been previously reduced or the diffuse P sources are dominant (Deppe and Benndorf, 2002; Cooke et al., 2005). A wide variety of P adsorbents with different physico-chemical characteristics are commercially available. Aluminum (Al), iron (Fe) and calcium (Ca) salts (Hupfer and Hilt, 2008), modified clays (Phoslock<sup>®</sup> and Aqual-P<sup>®</sup>) or Fe oxy-hydroxides (CFH-12<sup>®</sup>) are some examples of non-magnetic materials proposed for P adsorption in natural systems (Gibbs and Özkundakci, 2011; Zamparas et al., 2013; Copetti et al., 2016). An important downside of non-magnetic materials is that their effectiveness and longevity may depend on the physico-chemistry and the stability of the water column, ageing and crystallization of flocs or bioturbation, among others factors (Huser

\* Corresponding author. Departamento de Ecología, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Granada, 18071, Granada, Spain.

E-mail address: [afunes@ugr.es](mailto:afunes@ugr.es) (A. Funes).

et al., 2016). Bare and coated magnetic nano/microparticles such as magnetite ( $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ ) or zero valent Fe (ZVI) have been recently proposed for P removal from freshwater bodies (de Vicente et al., 2010a; Funes et al., 2017). The recovery of the P-loaded adsorbents by applying a magnetic separation gradient is the main novelty of using magnetic adsorbents. This implies a short contact time of the adsorbent with biota, cost savings when reusing it and the possibility of recovering P for further use as potential fertilizer, which is a challenge that deserves attention given the future scarcity of rock P reserves (Cordell et al., 2009).

Apart from the physico-chemical characteristics of the adsorbent (e.g. particle size, specific surface area, surface charge, surface functional groups and dosage), environmental conditions (e.g. pH, ionic strength and competitive ions) play an important role in the P removal efficiency (Li et al., 2016). Berkowitz et al. (2005) reported a high affinity of reactive silicate (Si) for Al. The potential interference of Si and humic acids in the adsorption of P by Al hydroxide (de Vicente et al., 2008) and Fe oxy-hydroxides (goethite) (Sigg and Stumm, 1981; Antelo et al., 2007) has been previously suggested. Humic substances also interfere with the P adsorption on Phoslock<sup>®</sup> (Lüring et al., 2014; Dithmer et al., 2016) and present high affinity for the adsorption on magnetite surface (Illés and Tombác, 2004). Reitzel et al. (2013) found a negative correlation between P adsorption capacity of Phoslock<sup>®</sup> and alkalinity. Apart from Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC) and Si, major cations ( $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{Na}^+$ ,  $\text{K}^+$ ) and anions ( $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ,  $\text{Cl}^-$ ) are negatively related to P removal by ZVI magnetic microparticles (grade HQ; hereafter FeHQ) (de Vicente et al., 2011). Funes et al. (2017) also reported a reduction in DOC and Si concentration when applying the same magnetic particles (FeHQ) to microcosms containing lake water and surface sediment from a hypertrophic lake. The high affinity of heavy metals for bentonite,  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ , Fe oxides and ZVI magnetic particles is widely documented in the literature (Veli and Alyüz, 2007; Feng et al., 2012; Hua et al., 2012; Funes et al., 2014).

The majority of laboratory P adsorption studies are focused on determining P removal efficiency in different matrices (i.e. Auvray et al., 2006; de Vicente et al., 2010a). Actually, the number of studies that assess the effect of interfering substances on the removal process by carrying out, either single-ion experiments (Borggaard et al., 2005; de Vicente et al., 2008; Merino-Martos et al., 2015), or adsorption experiments with natural lake water (de Vicente et al., 2008, 2011; Reitzel et al., 2013) is growing. Single-ion experiments aim at evaluating the individual effect of each substances/ions on the performance of the adsorbents to remove P. Given the simplicity of this approach (single factor), the simple linear regression has been previously applied to study the relationship between an independent variable and P removal (de Vicente et al., 2008, 2011). However, natural lake waters are complex matrices in which the P removal efficiency is finally controlled by the interactions between coexisting substances/ions, the adsorbate and the adsorbent. Up to now, the statistical approaches applied in experiments with lake water are correlation analysis (Reitzel et al., 2013), simple linear regression (de Vicente et al., 2011) and principal component analysis (de Vicente et al., 2008). Unfortunately, correlation analysis and simple linear regression do not allow to distinguish if each factor by itself is interfering on P removal or if it is a consequence of the high correlation with other factors. Instead, the linear mixed models (LMMs) approach would be more suitable in these complex systems although they have not been previously used in similar studies.

Mediterranean ponds are ecosystems characterized by a high catchment area to volume ratio (Álvarez-Cobelas et al., 2005). This circumstance causes that the effect of the human activities generated in its drainage basin is of greater influence than in temperate

lakes. Therefore, this “diffuse pollution” is responsible for the high concentrations of nutrients, heavy metals, DOC and other ions in these Mediterranean shallow ecosystems (Smith, 2009). These high concentrations make that, in a context of lake restoration, it is crucial to evaluate the main drivers controlling P adsorption in natural lake waters. In this work, we hypothesize that the chemical composition of lake water would affect P removal efficiency by different P adsorbents. To test this hypothesis, in this manuscript we run laboratory experiments by using four different P adsorbents –two non-magnetic (CFH-12<sup>®</sup> and Phoslock<sup>®</sup>) and two magnetic [FeHQ and hydrous lanthanum (La) oxide loaded silica-coated magnetite, hereafter, Fe-Si-La]– in water samples from 20 Mediterranean aquatic ecosystems at two adsorbent dosages. All these adsorbents have been previously used to efficiently remove P in laboratory/microcosms experiments (e.g. de Vicente et al., 2010a; Reitzel et al., 2013; Lyngsie et al., 2014; Lai et al., 2016; Funes et al., 2017) and, specifically Phoslock<sup>®</sup>, at field scale (e.g. Robb et al., 2003; Meis et al., 2012). Finally, our objective was to determine the major drivers (e.g. humic substances, Si, major cations/anions) affecting P removal efficiency when using the above mentioned adsorbents by using LMMs.

## 2. Materials and methods

### 2.1. Sampling and chemical analysis of lake water

In March 2017, water samples with different chemical composition (Table 1) were collected from 20 inland aquatic ecosystems in southern Spain (see supplementary information SI Fig. S1). Once in the laboratory, pH and conductivity were measured with a pH meter (pH 196, WTW, Germany) and a conductivity meter (InoLab Con Level 1, WTW, Germany), respectively. Water samples were filtered through glass microfiber filters (GF/F, 0.7  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and the dissolved reactive P (Murphy and Riley, 1962), total dissolved Fe ( $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ; Gibbs, 1979), Si (APHA, 1995) and color were spectrophotometrically analysed (Biochrom Libra S50). Color was determined at 440 nm in 1-cm cuvettes. Water color was expressed as absorption coefficient ( $a_{440}$ ) in units of inverse meters ( $\text{m}^{-1}$ ) according to the following equation (Reche and Pace, 2002):

$$a_{440} = \frac{2.303 A_{440}}{Z} \quad (1)$$

where  $A_{440}$  is the measured absorbance at 440 nm and  $Z$  is the optical path length (in m). Lake water used for measuring major cations [sodium ( $\text{Na}^+$ ), potassium ( $\text{K}^+$ ), magnesium ( $\text{Mg}^{2+}$ ) and calcium ( $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ )], major anions [nitrates ( $\text{NO}_3^-$ ), sulphates ( $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ ) and chlorides ( $\text{Cl}^-$ )] and heavy metals [manganese ( $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ ), arsenic ( $\text{As}^{3+}$  or  $\text{As}^{5+}$ ), copper ( $\text{Cu}^{2+}$ ) and nickel ( $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ )] was filtered through Millipore 0.22  $\mu\text{m}$  filters. Major cations and anions were measured by Ion Chromatography (IC; 940 Professional IC Vario, Metrohm) and heavy metals were analysed by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectroscopy (ICP-MS; Perkin Elmer NexION 300D). All physico-chemical parameters above mentioned were measured in the water samples four times along the experiment (six weeks): once at the beginning, twice during the experiment and the last one at the end of the experiment. Salinity of the systems was estimated by using conductivity measurements and the equation proposed by Alcorlo et al. (1996) for lakes located in the Guadalquivir Depression in southern Spain [salinity ( $\text{g L}^{-1}$ ) = 0.8211 conductivity ( $\text{mS cm}^{-1}$ ) = 0.18389]. Finally, DOC concentration was estimated from the relationship between DOC (measured with a Shimadzu TOC analyzer) and color (expressed as absorption coefficient,  $a_{440}$ ;  $r^2 = 0.61$ ; de Vicente et al., 2010b).

**Table 1**

Chemical characterization of the studied lakes (average values of four analysis). The aquatic systems were classified according to Hammer (1986) as freshwater (F), subsaline (S) or hyposaline (H) systems.

Lake	pH	Conductivity $\mu\text{S cm}^{-1}$	$\text{PO}_4^{3-}$ $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	$\text{Na}^+$ $\text{mg L}^{-1}$	$\text{K}^+$ $\text{mg L}^{-1}$	$\text{Mg}^{2+}$ $\text{mg L}^{-1}$	$\text{Ca}^{2+}$ $\text{mg L}^{-1}$	$\text{NO}_3^-$ $\text{mg L}^{-1}$	$\text{Cl}^-$ $\text{mg L}^{-1}$	$\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ $\text{mg L}^{-1}$	Si $\text{mg L}^{-1}$	Color $\text{m}^{-1}$	Mn $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	As $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	Ni $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$	Cu $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$
1 Charco del Negro (F)	7.46	321	16.81	0.40	2.20	0.99	44.50	3.86	4.23	42.43	3.85	2.99	1.00	1.39	3.25	2.43
2 Grande (H)	6.97	3928	6.49	104.60	5.61	147.68	733.86	3.84	184.38	2268.67	9.32	2.82	74.87	3.23	29.40	6.96
3 Chica (H)	7.91	7415	0.00	543.03	12.22	352.44	727.31	7.36	991.16	2937.76	0.55	2.59	666.06	9.16	31.99	33.98
4 Puerto Alazores (F)	8.14	310	0.13	0.00	0.00	4.71	38.71	2.44	6.85	19.54	2.28	1.61	0.79	1.32	2.86	1.77
5 Puerto Zafarraya (F)	8.10	332	3.95	5.80	0.86	3.79	39.70	1.82	12.43	11.71	0.00	1.23	0.99	0.98	3.08	1.21
6 Alhama (F)	8.26	359	0.00	0.00	0.00	12.71	42.94	8.49	5.31	14.66	2.53	0.46	0.79	1.18	2.08	0.35
7 Bermejales (S)	8.26	549	0.00	0.00	0.61	29.65	57.43	5.74	7.06	135.75	1.08	0.38	0.71	1.57	3.63	0.65
8 Padul (S)	8.30	574	4.08	0.93	0.91	33.38	58.00	6.65	10.22	38.85	0.73	3.07	1.10	3.06	4.37	0.95
9 Colomera (S)	8.05	656	0.00	15.69	1.75	17.92	66.84	8.76	35.02	157.54	1.46	0.58	0.78	1.48	4.68	1.81
10 Cubillas (S)	8.11	686	0.00	7.48	1.19	25.48	78.34	8.21	20.74	161.07	1.70	0.92	0.52	1.61	5.79	1.07
11 Charca Suárez (S)	8.01	1474	141.54	95.86	19.10	57.07	81.89	0.00	192.32	130.41	10.10	10.31	3.22	11.07	6.18	6.57
12 Yeguas (F)	7.57	110	0.13	0.09	0.00	0.29	3.96	5.92	7.16	11.73	2.44	1.21	0.90	3.65	0.91	1.29
13 Rumblar (F)	7.30	235	0.00	0.24	0.25	6.41	15.45	5.25	8.04	59.64	0.00	0.77	0.85	2.66	2.39	1.77
14 Guadalén (F)	7.84	353	1.40	8.69	2.85	11.44	28.67	6.33	17.12	45.34	0.00	0.77	0.69	1.82	4.20	1.69
15 Castillo (S)	7.24	798	1.53	3.47	14.20	25.48	95.12	1.22	16.01	336.97	0.02	2.25	2.79	3.29	7.92	13.85
16 Orcera (S)	8.08	678	0.00	0.00	6.74	31.93	75.78	5.06	15.00	164.16	0.34	3.28	1.68	3.27	5.97	1.43
17 La Franciscuela (F)	8.13	336	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.40	41.87	3.35	4.27	12.39	0.38	1.00	0.57	0.41	2.62	0.14
18 Canales (F)	7.77	179	9.04	0.00	0.00	1.99	16.97	5.11	4.43	25.32	0.21	0.38	1.47	1.20	1.28	0.15
19 Francisco Abellán (S)	8.20	565	0.00	1.86	0.62	29.06	54.84	5.59	12.33	114.42	0.15	0.46	0.46	1.26	3.25	0.38
20 Balax (F)	5.82	101	5.22	0.00	0.10	0.33	5.41	16.72	5.16	22.35	3.03	0.29	52.52	0.77	6.92	0.46

## 2.2. Synthesis of hydrous lanthanum oxide loaded silica-coated magnetite (Fe-Si-La)

Fe-Si-La particles were synthesized following the procedure of Lai et al. (2016) with slight modifications. Briefly, 10 g of commercial bare  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  (Sigma Aldrich; 50–100 nm) was dispersed in a mixture of ethanol (1.6 mL) and distilled water (400 mL). The suspension was horizontally shaken for 10 min at 200 rpm. Afterwards, 100 mL of ammonia (28%) and 3.26 mL of tetraethyloxysilane (TEOS, 98.6%) were added to the suspension and left for shaking for 6 h at 200 rpm. The Si coated  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  was magnetically separated and the supernatant was removed. Then, 500 mL of  $\text{LaCl}_3$  (0.02 M) was added to the Si coated  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  and the pH of the suspension was raised to 10 by adding 3M NaOH. After magnetic separation for 5 min, the Fe-Si-La particles were washed twice with distilled water, twice with absolute ethanol and the last time with acetone. In each washing step, the particles were sonicated for 15 min. Then, particles were air dried and stored at 4 °C until used.

## 2.3. Adsorbents

CFH-12<sup>®</sup> (Kemira, Finland) is a dry, granular and amorphous ferric oxy-hydroxide with a Fe content of ~44% w/w. As specified by the manufacturer (CSIRO, Australia), Phoslock<sup>®</sup> is a dry and granular compound consisting of La-modified clay (~5% w/w La). To obtain a homogenized fine powder (~250 nm), CFH-12<sup>®</sup> and Phoslock<sup>®</sup> were crushed in a mortar.

Both, Fe-Si-La and bare  $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$  particles were characterized with the following techniques for comparison. Surface morphology and particle size were determined by focus ion beam field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FIB-FESEM, AURIGA, Carl Zeiss SMT Inc.). A SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design MPMS XL) was used to determine the magnetization curves of the powders at 293 K. Quantitative chemical composition of the surface was determined by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS; Kratos Axis Ultra-DLD) with monochromatic Al K $\alpha$  radiation. The wide spectrum (pass energy 160 eV) and spectra of the detected elements (pass energy 20 eV) were obtained using an electrostatic lens.

FeHQ magnetic particles were supplied by BASF (Germany). According to the manufacturer, the composition of this powder is

97.5% iron, 0.9% carbon, 0.5% oxygen, and 0.9% nitrogen. These particles are spherical in shape with an average diameter of 800 nm [see de Vicente et al. (2010a) for further characterization details].

## 2.4. Adsorption isotherms

The adsorption isotherms of the three adsorbents (CFH-12<sup>®</sup>, Phoslock<sup>®</sup> and Fe-Si-La) were conducted at room temperature (25 °C) using the batch technique. The stock magnetic suspension (50 g L<sup>-1</sup>) was prepared by adding 2.5 g of Fe-Si-La in a final volume of 50 mL. Prior to its use, the stock magnetic suspension was sonicated for 5 min. A volume of 1 mL of the stock magnetic suspension was mixed with 40 mL of 3 mM  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  in a polyethylene container and agitated for 24 h at 150 rpm. In the case of non-magnetic adsorbents (CFH-12<sup>®</sup> and Phoslock<sup>®</sup>), 0.05 g were added as dry material to polyethylene containers along with 40 mL of 3 mM  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  and agitated under the same conditions. 1 mL of different P stock solutions ranging from 5 to 60 mM P was added to the containers and the pH was adjusted to 7 with 1M NaOH or HCl. Suspensions were agitated again for 24 h at 150 rpm. After 24 h of agitation, pH was readjusted to 7 and suspensions were made up to 50 mL to give P concentrations ranging from 0.1 mM to 1.2 mM. The adsorbent concentration in the adsorption experiments was 1 g L<sup>-1</sup> for all the adsorbents. Adsorbents were then separated from the supernatant by centrifugation (10 min, 3000 rpm) or using a variable gap magnet (5 min; PASCO scientific; EM-8641). Supernatant was filtered through glass microfiber filters (GF/F, 0.7  $\mu\text{m}$ ) and dissolved reactive P was spectrophotometrically determined (Murphy and Riley, 1962). The equilibrium adsorption capacity was calculated as follows:

$$q = \frac{C_0 - C_e}{M_a} V \quad (2)$$

where  $C_0$  is the initial P concentration (mg L<sup>-1</sup>);  $C_e$  the equilibrium P concentration (mg L<sup>-1</sup>);  $M_a$  the mass of adsorbent (g) and  $V$  the total volume of the suspension (L).

## 2.5. Adsorption experiments with lake water

The standard suspensions (25 g L<sup>-1</sup>) of magnetic adsorbents (Fe-

Si-La and FeHQ) were prepared as follows: (i) 625 mg of magnetic adsorbent were mixed with distilled water in a polypropylene container (25 mL); (ii) To ensure homogeneity of the sample, the suspension was sonicated for 5 min prior to its use in the adsorption experiments. A standard solution of 5 mM P was prepared by adding 136 mg of  $\text{KH}_2\text{PO}_4$  to distilled water (200 mL).

For the batch adsorption experiments, nine different treatments were applied in which four adsorbents -CFH-12<sup>®</sup>, Phoslock<sup>®</sup>, Fe-Si-La and FeHQ- were tested at two dosages and one treatment, with no adsorbent addition, served as control. Each treatment was performed with six replicates, measuring one replicate of each treatment per week (six weeks in total). Depending on the P concentration measured in water samples, different volumes of a standard solution (5 mM P) were added to obtain a fixed concentration of 20  $\mu\text{M}$  P in all systems in a volume of 25 mL. The adsorbents were then added to 25 mL of lake water to test a low (0.2  $\text{g mg}^{-1}$ ) and a high (0.5  $\text{g mg}^{-1}$ ) adsorbent:P ratio, as follows: 3.3 and 7.8 mg of non-magnetic adsorbents (CFH-12<sup>®</sup> and Phoslock<sup>®</sup>) were weighed and added to the lake water in order to test the low and high adsorbent:P ratio, respectively, for each adsorbent. Since the active ingredient in Phoslock<sup>®</sup> is La (5%), the dosage for this compound corresponds to 10 mg La  $\text{mg}^{-1}$  P and 25 mg La  $\text{mg}^{-1}$  P for the low and high ratio, respectively. The magnetic adsorbents (Fe-Si-La and FeHQ) were added as suspensions by using a volume of 124 or 310  $\mu\text{L}$  from the standard magnetic suspension (25  $\text{g L}^{-1}$ ) to obtain the low and high adsorbent:P ratio, respectively. Samples were then agitated for 20 h in a horizontal shaker (150 rpm). After this time, the supernatant was separated from the adsorbents by centrifugation (3 min, 3000 rpm) or by using the variable gap magnet for 1 min (PASCO scientific; EM-8641). Finally, the supernatant was filtered for dissolved reactive P analysis ( $C_e$ ). P removal efficiency (Pr, %) was calculated as follows:

$$\text{Pr} = \frac{C_{\text{cont}} - C_e}{C_{\text{cont}}} 100 \quad (3)$$

Likewise, adsorbed P (in  $\mu\text{mol}$ ) was calculated as:

$$(C_{\text{cont}} - C_e)V \quad (4)$$

where (in eqs. (3) and (4))  $C_{\text{cont}}$  is the P concentration in the control treatment, that is, the P concentration in lake water 24 h after P addition and without adsorbent;  $C_e$  is the equilibrium P concentration in  $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$  and  $V$  is the same as in eq. (2).  $C_{\text{cont}}$  was different

across the lakes. We used  $C_{\text{cont}}$  to calculate Pr instead of the starting P concentration (20  $\mu\text{M}$ ) to avoid including the interaction of P with water chemistry in the calculation.

The adsorbent dosages (low and high) were established according to the adsorption isotherms represented in Fig. 1. As seen in Fig. 1, P adsorption capacity of the three adsorbents ranges between 1.6 and 2.6  $\text{mg P g}^{-1}$  adsorbent (the mean value is 2  $\text{mg P g}^{-1}$  adsorbent; adsorbent:P ratio of 0.5  $\text{g mg}^{-1}$ ) for P concentrations below 0.1 mM (which is a more similar concentration to eutrophicated waters). Accordingly, a lower (0.2  $\text{g mg}^{-1}$ ) and the same (0.5  $\text{g mg}^{-1}$ ) adsorbent:P ratio were tested in order to study the effect of adsorbent dosage on P removal efficiency.

## 2.6. Data analysis

The aim of the statistical analysis was to identify major factors affecting P removal efficiency by different adsorbents. The experimental design corresponds to a factorial design  $4 \times 2$  in which the first factor (type of adsorbent) has 4 levels and the second factor (adsorbent dosage) has 2 levels, being 6 the number of replicates. Given the high number of experimental units, one replicate of each treatment and lake was measured each week (time needed to complete an adsorption experiment), in a total period of 6 six weeks (one replicate per week). This type of design involves that all treatments are simultaneously tested every week but not all the replicates. To avoid pseudoreplication, a LMM was performed considering the replicates (week) and the lakes (location) as random factors. In consequence, replicates and lakes are considered a random sample of all possible replicates and all possible lakes, respectively. The adsorbed P data were power-transformed ( $x^2$ ) prior to analysis to meet the assumption of normality of residuals. The absence of outliers and multicollinearity (*vif* function) were fulfilled. At the initial stage, the variables studied were selected according to the following criteria: (1) potential interference of the variable in P removal according to the literature (see introduction) and (2) high enough value/concentration to cause interferences. The variables initially studied were: type of adsorbent, adsorbent dosage, conductivity, major cations and anions, pH, color, Si, heavy metals ( $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ ,  $\text{As}^{3+}$  or  $\text{As}^{5+}$ ,  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  and  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$ ) and  $C_{\text{cont}}$ . Aiming at avoiding redundancy between independent variables, Spearman's correlation test with the Holm's correction was firstly accomplished. As a result, major cations, major anions and Ni were not included in the global model due to their high correlation with conductivity ( $\text{Na}^+$   $r=0.70$ ;  $\text{K}^+$   $r=0.92$ ;  $\text{Mg}^{2+}$   $r=0.92$ ;  $\text{Ca}^{2+}$   $r=0.96$ ;  $\text{Cl}^-$   $r=0.96$ ;  $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$   $r=0.82$ ;  $\text{Ni}^{2+}$   $r=0.73$ ; p values for all correlations  $<0.0001$ ). A global model containing the factors (1) type of adsorbent, (2) adsorbent dosage, (3) conductivity, (4) pH, (5) color, (6) Si, (7)  $\text{NO}_3^-$ , (8)  $\text{Mn}^{2+}$ , (9)  $\text{As}^{3+}$  or  $\text{As}^{5+}$  and (10)  $\text{Cu}^{2+}$  and (11)  $C_{\text{cont}}$  (P in lake water after 24 h without adsorbent) was finally analysed by using lme4 package (Bates et al., 2015). Model selection was conducted using MuMIn package (Bartoń, 2012). The Akaike information criterion ( $\text{AIC}_c$ ) values allowed to establish a ranking of candidate models. Models with a  $\Delta\text{AIC}_c$  (differences in  $\text{AIC}_c$  values of the model and the model with the smallest  $\text{AIC}_c$ ) less than 2 were given similar support (Posada and Buckley, 2004). The best model was the model with the minimum  $\text{AIC}_c$  value showing a difference higher than 2  $\text{AIC}_c$  units with other models (Burnham and Anderson, 1998; Posada and Buckley, 2004). A final model including all variables retained in the selected models was performed. The Tukey's post hoc test was done with multcomp package (Hothorn et al., 2013). The significant interactions between factors were studied by testing the simple main effects performing contrasts across the levels of one factor when the values for other factors are fixed at a certain level, and by slope testing for the interaction with covariates with phia package (de Rosario-




Fig. 1. Adsorption isotherms of CFH-12<sup>®</sup>, Phoslock<sup>®</sup> and Fe-Si-La. Adsorbent concentration 1  $\text{g L}^{-1}$ ; pH = 7. Standard deviation is represented by vertical bars.



Martínez, 2015). We also tested the contribution of random effects using the likelihood ratio test (Bolker et al., 2009). The model analyses were performed in R software (R Development Core Team, 2017). Significant differences were considered when  $p < 0.05$ .

### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Characterization of hydrous lanthanum oxide loaded silica-coated magnetite (Fe-Si-La)

SEM images of bare Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and the Fe-Si-La particles are shown in SI Fig. S2a and Fig. S2b, respectively. As observed, both particles are quasi-spherical in shape and polydisperse in size with a mean particle size of ~100 nm. SI Fig. S3 shows the magnetic hysteresis curves of bare Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> and Fe-Si-La particles at 293 K. Both particles behave as soft magnetic materials with negligible coercitive field and remnant magnetization, which means that magnetization becomes zero when removing the field. The saturation magnetization ( $M_s$ ) of Fe-Si-La (81 emu g<sup>-1</sup>) is slightly lower than bare Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (89 emu g<sup>-1</sup>) due to the presence of the non-magnetic inorganic coating. The surface chemical composition of bare Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is 59.0% of C, 31.4% of O and 9.6% of Fe whereas for the case of Fe-Si-La is 28.6% of C, 49.5% of O, 3.5% of Fe, 13.0% of Si and 5.3% of La. This analysis evidenced a significant presence of Si and La at the surface of the Fe-Si-La particles.

#### 3.2. Maximum phosphorus adsorption capacity of the adsorbents

P adsorption capacity of CFH-12<sup>®</sup>, Phoslock<sup>®</sup> and Fe-Si-La was assessed by modelling the adsorption data. Experimental data were fitted to Langmuir and Dubinin–Radushkevich (DR) adsorption isotherms (see solid lines in Fig. 1). Langmuir isotherm model assumes a homogeneous adsorption surface in which a monolayer of adsorbate is formed and is defined by the eq. (5):

$$q_e = \frac{K_L M_L C_e}{1 + K_L C_e} \quad (5)$$

where  $q_e$  is the amount of adsorbed adsorbate at equilibrium (mg g<sup>-1</sup>),  $K_L$  (L g<sup>-1</sup>) is an adsorption constant related to the energy of adsorption,  $M_L$  (mg g<sup>-1</sup>) is an empirical saturation constant that represents the maximum adsorption capacity and  $C_e$  is the same as in eq. (2). The DR equation is widely used to describe the pore filling adsorption mechanism on microporous surfaces such as activated carbon, zeolites and clays and it is represented by the eqs. (6) and (7) (Nguyen and Do, 2001; Brezovska et al., 2004; Chen, 2015):

$$q_e = q_s \exp(-K_{DR} \varepsilon^2) \quad (6)$$

$$\varepsilon = RT \ln \left( 1 + \frac{1}{C_e} \right) \quad (7)$$

where  $q_s$  (mg P g<sup>-1</sup>) is a constant representing the maximum

**Table 2**

Best models predicting P adsorption obtained through model selection ( $\Delta AIC_c < 2$ ).  $AIC_c$  values,  $AIC_c$  differences ( $\Delta$ ) and Akaike weights ( $\omega$ ) of the subset of models generated.  $l$  is the maximized log likelihood. (1) type of adsorbent, (2) adsorbent dose, (5) color, (7) NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and (11)  $C_{cont}$ .

Model	$l$	$AIC_c$	$\Delta AIC_c$	$\omega$
(A)1* + 2* + 1 × 2* + 11*	1666.84	-30308.98	0.00	0.49
(B)1* + 2* + 1 × 2* + 11* + 7 + 1 × 7*	1669.92	-30307.17	1.82	0.20
(C)1* + 2* + 1 × 2* + 11* + 5*	1666.74	-303.04	1.95	0.19

\* $p < 0.001$ .

adsorption capacity,  $K_{DR}$  (mol<sup>2</sup> kJ<sup>-2</sup>) is a constant related to the free energy of adsorption,  $R$  is the universal gas constant (8.314 J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>),  $T$  (K) is the absolute temperature and  $C_e$  is the same as in eq. (2). Attending to  $r^2$  values, Phoslock<sup>®</sup> (a modified bentonite) showed a better fit to DR isotherm (SI Table S1). These results were expected as bentonite is a microporous material (Brezovska et al., 2004) and accordingly, the adsorption process is well explained by the pore filling mechanism described by DR isotherm. In contrast, CFH-12<sup>®</sup> and Fe-Si-La fitted better to Langmuir isotherm. Comparison of the four adsorbents evidenced that FeHQ had the highest adsorption capacity (18.8 mg g<sup>-1</sup>; see de Vicente et al., 2010a), followed by CFH-12<sup>®</sup> (15.1 mg g<sup>-1</sup>), Phoslock<sup>®</sup> (13.6 mg g<sup>-1</sup>) and Fe-Si-La (6.7 mg g<sup>-1</sup>). Fe-Si-La showed higher adsorption capacity compared to bare Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> (5.85 mg g<sup>-1</sup>, data not shown). In general, similar values were obtained in previous works for the same adsorbents. The manufacturer reported a  $M_L$  of 10.0 mg P g<sup>-1</sup> for Phoslock<sup>®</sup> (CSIRO, Australia). Fuchs et al. (2018) obtained a  $M_L$  of 30.6 mg P g<sup>-1</sup> for CFH-12<sup>®</sup> which is twice the value of this work presumably due to longer contact time [3 months of exposure to 50 μM of dissolved inorganic P (DIP)]. However, the maximum P adsorption capacity of our Fe-Si-La particles was much lower than that reported by Lai et al. (2016) with similar particles (27.8 mg g<sup>-1</sup>). This discrepancy may arise from differences in the preparation method. In fact, a much lower La content is present in our Fe-Si-La particles as indicated by XPS (5.3% of surface La content) compared to Lai et al. (2016).

#### 3.3. Factors affecting phosphorus adsorption


According to the  $AIC_c$  values and the Akaike weights ( $\omega$ , conditional probabilities of each model) of the models, three models received noticeable support and were considered plausible to identify factors controlling P adsorption (Table 2). Model A had the smallest  $AIC_c$  value (best model) and contained as independent variables: type of adsorbent, adsorbent dosage, their interaction term (type of adsorbent x adsorbent dosage) and  $C_{cont}$ . Additionally to the variables present in model A, model B included NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and the interaction type of adsorbent x NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> whereas model C added color as independent variables. As a result, a final model containing all the variables present in the three selected models was obtained. Results of the LMM are shown in Table 3. As seen, all parameters except for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> (type of adsorbent, adsorbent dosage, color and  $C_{cont}$ ) and the interactions included in the model had a significant effect ( $p < 0.05$ ) on P adsorption. In the following sections the effect of each parameter is studied in detail.

Results showed that none of the random factors showed a significant effect on P adsorption (replicate:  $p = 0.813$ ; lake:  $p = 1$ ). These results allow us to extrapolate the results obtained in the model to other lakes.

**Table 3**

Model coefficients. Estimate (the model-based estimate for the coefficient for each parameter without weighting), standard error (SE), associated Wald's z score ( $z$ ) and significance level ( $p$ ) for the fixed factors explaining P adsorption.

Fixed Factors	df	$\chi^2$	$p$
Intercept			
Type of adsorbent	3	2663.60	<0.001
Adsorbent dosage	1	156.66	<0.001
$C_{cont}$	1	794.28	<0.001
Color	1	39.36	<0.001
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	1	0.21	0.6
Type of adsorbent x NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup>	3	50.26	<0.001
Type of adsorbent x adsorbent dosage	3	509.95	<0.001



**Fig. 2.** P removal efficiency (Pr in %) for the merged data of all studied systems as a function of type of adsorbent and adsorbent dosage. Standard deviation is represented by vertical bars.

### 3.3.1. Effect of the type of adsorbent

As seen in Table 3, the type of adsorbent had a significant effect on P adsorption. P removal efficiencies at low and high adsorbent dosage are represented for all the adsorbents in Fig. 2. Data represent the average for all aquatic ecosystems. As expected, those adsorbents with the highest maximum P adsorption capacities, CFH-12<sup>®</sup> and Phoslock<sup>®</sup> (SI Table S1), showed the highest P removal efficiencies (>85%). Fe-Si-La was also very efficient with P removal efficiencies greater than 70% whereas FeHQ showed the lowest ( $\leq 15\%$ ). Tukey's post hoc test (data not shown) showed that Phoslock<sup>®</sup> removed significantly more P than CFH-12<sup>®</sup>, Fe-Si-La and FeHQ ( $p = 0.037$ ,  $p = 0.01$ ,  $p < 0.001$ , respectively). P removal was significantly lower for FeHQ compared to the rest of the adsorbents ( $p < 0.001$ ). This result is particularly striking in view of the high maximum P adsorption capacity of FeHQ (de Vicente et al., 2010a); however different explanations can be suggested. First, the low adsorption capacity of FeHQ ( $0.5 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ ; de Vicente et al., 2010a) at low P concentrations ( $< 0.1 \text{ mM P}$ ) compared to the rest of the adsorbents ( $1.6\text{--}2.6 \text{ mg g}^{-1}$ ; see Fig. 1). Second, the adsorbent:P ratios used in this work ( $0.2$  and  $0.5 \text{ mg mg}^{-1}$ ) are seven and three fold lower, respectively, than the optimum adsorbent dosage ( $1.5 \text{ g mg}^{-1}$ ) calculated by Merino-Martos et al. (2011) to efficiently remove P from solution. Even more, de Vicente et al. (2011) applied much higher adsorbent dosages ( $6.45$  and  $25.81 \text{ g mg}^{-1}$ ) when using the same particles to remove P from different natural aquatic ecosystems. Given the low P removal by FeHQ, a complementary model was run in which FeHQ was removed, determining that the type of adsorbent was not significant ( $p = 0.793$ ) for the overall model (data not shown). In this case, differences between the three other adsorbents are not relevant as determined by Tukey's test ( $p = 0.622$ ,  $p = 0.514$ ,  $p = 0.877$ ).

### 3.3.2. Effect of the adsorbent dosage

The adsorbent dosage is, as expected, a significant factor explaining the mass of adsorbed P (Table 3). As seen in Fig. 2, the high adsorbent dosage led to higher P removal efficiencies.

**Table 4**


Post hoc analysis of the simple main effects for the interaction type adsorbent x adsorbent dosage. The Holm's method correction of  $p$  was used to protect the family-wise error rate.

	Value	df	$\chi^2$	$p$
CFH-12 <sup>®</sup>	0.0416	1	156.66	<0.001
Phoslock <sup>®</sup>	0.0063	1	3.80	0.102
Fe-Si-La	0.0949	1	807.43	<0.001
FeHQ	0.0008	1	0.05	0.819

Likewise, there is an interactive effect of the factors type of adsorbent x adsorbent dosage on adsorbed P (Table 3). As a result, a post hoc analysis of this interaction was carried out. Table 4 shows the results of simple main effects interaction analysis for type of adsorbent x adsorbent dosage. In consequence, the effect of adsorbent dosage at the different types of adsorbents was tested, and it was found that the adsorbent dosage has a significant effect on adsorbed P ( $p < 0.001$ ) when using CFH-12<sup>®</sup> and Fe-Si-La but not when using Phoslock<sup>®</sup> and FeHQ ( $p > 0.05$ ). Specifically, when comparing adsorbent dosages for CFH-12<sup>®</sup> (Fig. 2), we observed that the high adsorbent dosage removed significantly more P (>99% on average for all the systems) than the low adsorbent dosage (86.1%). Similarly, when adding Fe-Si-La P removal efficiencies were significantly higher for the high dosage (>99%) than for the low dosage (71.2%; Fig. 2). However, no differences in P removal were found between the high and low adsorbent dosage for the case of Phoslock<sup>®</sup> (97.5–99.1%) and FeHQ (12.0–15.5%). Differences in the effect of adsorbent dosage on adsorbed P among the studied adsorbents explain the significant interaction between the factors type of adsorbent x adsorbent dosage obtained in the model.

### 3.3.3. Effect of the matrix

Among all the variables included in the model,  $C_{cont}$  and color in lake water were the only ones related to water chemical composition that significantly affect adsorbed P (Table 3). On the one hand, the coefficient for  $C_{cont}$  is positive, pointing out a positive relationship between  $C_{cont}$  and the mass of adsorbed P. In particular, Phoslock<sup>®</sup> showed the strongest Pearson correlation coefficient ( $r = 0.940$ ) between  $C_{cont}$  and P adsorbed followed by CFH-12<sup>®</sup> ( $r = 0.731$ ) and Fe-Si-La ( $r = 0.428$ ). Therefore, high  $C_{cont}$  would enhance the mass of adsorbed P. This trend has been also observed for anionic dyes (Banerjee and Chattopadhyaya, 2017). By contrast, a negative and weak correlation ( $r = -0.060$ ) between both variables were found for FeHQ as a result of the insufficient adsorbent dosage. On the other hand, the coefficient for color was negative, reflecting that the mass of adsorbed P responded inversely to increasing values of this parameter. Color in water has been reported to be a good indicator of the humic substances (humic and fulvic acids) concentration (Cuthbert and del Giorgio, 1992). In more details, Fig. 3a shows the relationship between adsorbed P and color for all the adsorbents. As indicated by  $r$  values, high



**Fig. 3.** a) Relation between adsorbed P and color for the four studied adsorbents. b) Relation between adsorbed P and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  for the four studied adsorbents.  $r$  is the Pearson correlation coefficient. The y-axis values are power-transformed ( $x^2$ ).

**Table 5**

a) Post hoc analysis of the pairwise comparisons between the types of adsorbent for the interaction type adsorbent  $\times$   $\text{NO}_3^-$ . The Holm's method correction of  $p$  was used to protect the family-wise error rate. b) Post hoc analysis of the interaction between the type of adsorbent and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  (slope testing of the relationship between adsorbed P and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  for each adsorbent).

	Value	df	$\chi^2$	$p$
a)				
CFH-12 <sup>®</sup> - Fe-Si-La	-0.0006	1	0.78	0.376
CFH-12 <sup>®</sup> - FeHQ	-0.0030	1	21.33	<0.001
CFH-12 <sup>®</sup> - Phoslock <sup>®</sup>	0.0014	1	5.22	<0.050
Phoslock <sup>®</sup> - FeHQ	0.0044	1	48.79	<0.001
Phoslock <sup>®</sup> - Fe-Si-La	0.0020	1	10.14	<0.010
Fe-Si-La - FeHQ	0.0024	1	13.92	<0.001
b)				
CFH-12 <sup>®</sup>	-0.0002	1	0.21	0.936
Phoslock <sup>®</sup>	-0.0017	1	12.83	<0.010
Fe-Si-La	0.0003	1	0.53	0.936
FeHQ	0.0028	1	32.41	<0.001

values of color and thus, high lake water concentrations of humic substances, would noticeably affect the mass of adsorbed P by Fe-Si-La ( $r = -0.164$ ) and by CFH-12<sup>®</sup> ( $r = -0.109$ ). Previous works have reported potential interferences of humic acids on the adsorption of P onto Fe oxy-hydroxides, a similar Fe based compound to CFH-12<sup>®</sup> (Antelo et al., 2007) and onto Fe-Si-La (Lai et al., 2016). Humic substances may interact with colloids in different ways. H-bonding, ligand exchange and electrostatic interactions are the main sorption mechanisms of humic substances onto iron oxides (goethite and hematite) (Philippe and Schaumann, 2014). Frequently, several mechanisms act at the same time. The humic substances that mostly affect P adsorption onto iron oxides are fulvic acids due to a stronger electrostatic interactions with P (Weng et al., 2008).

Unlike what happened to CFH-12<sup>®</sup> and Fe-Si-La, humic substances had negligible effect on adsorbed P by Phoslock<sup>®</sup> ( $r = -0.042$ ) despite the fact that the DOC concentration in the studied aquatic systems were high enough to cause interferences (Lurling et al., 2014; Dithmer et al., 2016). Specifically, half of the lakes presented a DOC concentrations between 1 and 10 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and half presented values ranging from 10 to 30 mg L<sup>-1</sup> except for Charca Suárez which showed much higher value (118 mg DOC L<sup>-1</sup>). Contrarily to our results, the competition of humic substances and P for the adsorption sites on Phoslock<sup>®</sup> has been previously reported (Dithmer et al., 2016). Similarly, Lurling et al. (2014) reported a reduced P binding by Phoslock (actually by La which is the active ingredient) when DOC concentrations were as low as 2 mg L<sup>-1</sup> and the effect became stronger when increasing up to 14 mg DOC L<sup>-1</sup>. The stronger effect of humic substances on P adsorption by CFH-12<sup>®</sup> and Fe-La-Si than by Phoslock<sup>®</sup> may be explained by several reasons. Firstly, the high adsorption capacity of Phoslock<sup>®</sup> compared to the others adsorbents (see SI Table S1). According to the results, P removal by Phoslock<sup>®</sup> was not affected by coexisting ions and substances (almost 100% of P removal whatever the adsorbent dosage and lake water) because there are still free adsorption sites for P. However, in Fe-Si-La and CFH-12<sup>®</sup>, the adsorption sites (OH functional groups) could be blocked by the presence of humic substances and not available for P adsorption, thus reducing P removal efficiency (Lai et al., 2016; Borggaard et al., 2005). A second explanation would be the lower affinity of humic substances for clays compared to iron oxides due to the unfavourable electrostatic pattern (Philippe and Schaumann, 2014). Another reason maybe that the adsorption of humic substances on CFH-12<sup>®</sup> and on Fe-Si-La could reduce their point of zero charge


(pzc) and increase electrostatic repulsion for the adsorption of anionic phosphate (Antelo et al., 2007).

As seen in Table 3, there are not significant main effects of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  on the adsorbed P. However, there is a significant effect of the interaction type of adsorbent  $\times$   $\text{NO}_3^-$ . This means that the effect of  $\text{NO}_3^-$  on the adsorbed P depends on the type of adsorbent. Table 5a shows the post hoc analysis of this interaction in which the adjusted values of the slope with respect to the adsorbed P for the level and contrasts of the type of adsorbent are indicated. According to it, the proportional relation between  $\text{NO}_3^-$  and adsorbed P is significantly greater (and direct, see Fig. 3b) for FeHQ compared to the rest of adsorbents ( $p < 0.001$ ). Likewise, this proportional relation is significantly different (and negative, see Fig. 3b) for Phoslock<sup>®</sup> compared to CFH-12<sup>®</sup> and Fe-Si-La ( $p < 0.05$  and  $p < 0.01$ , respectively). In fact, Table 5b points out that the relation between adsorbed P and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  is only significant for FeHQ and Phoslock<sup>®</sup>. As, according to Fig. 3b, there could be an apparent correlation caused by water from one single lake (Balax), we repeated the previous model of Table 3 excluding Balax lake. The results again showed significant relationships between adsorbed P and  $\text{NO}_3^-$  for FeHQ and Phoslock<sup>®</sup> (post hoc analysis of the interaction:  $p < 0.01$  and  $p < 0.05$ , respectively). This result may have implications in lake restoration. The efficiency of Phoslock<sup>®</sup> in removing P could be reduced in lakes with high  $\text{NO}_3^-$  concentration whereas the efficiency of FeHQ would increase. Pr in each aquatic ecosystem is shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5 for non-magnetic and magnetic adsorbents, respectively. For CFH-12<sup>®</sup>, Pr was similar between lakes at low adsorbent dosage. At high adsorbent dosage of CFH-12<sup>®</sup>, Charca Suárez showed the lowest Pr (94.2%) compared to the highest value found in Grande, Padul and Cubillas (99%). For Phoslock<sup>®</sup> and Fe-Si-La, Pr was similar across lakes at high adsorbent dosage but the values were different at low adsorbent dosage. Pr by Phoslock<sup>®</sup> was slightly lower in Charca Suárez (81.3%) compared to Balax (99.9%). Pr by Fe-Si-La was notably lower in Charca Suárez (38.3%) and Canales (63.0%) compared to Colomera (82.6%), Cubillas (89.5%) and Guadalén (80.0%). For the case of FeHQ, Pr was similar between lakes at low adsorbent dosage whereas it was different at high adsorbent dosage, being Pr much lower in Puerto de los Alazores (5.3%) compared to Balax (49.0%).


The lower Pr reported in Charca Suárez for all the adsorbents could be attributed to its extremely high color value (10.31 m<sup>-1</sup>), which ultimately reflects high humic substances concentration (Cuthbert and del Giorgio, 1992). Similarly, and although As was not selected in the model as explanatory variable for P adsorption, the high concentration of this metal in Charca Suárez (11.7  $\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$ ) may have reduced adsorbed P by CFH-12<sup>®</sup> due to competition. In fact, P and As have been reported to have a similar structure, chemical reactivity and adsorption kinetic onto iron oxides (Luengo et al., 2007; Lukkari et al., 2007).

#### 4. Conclusions

For the practical application of novel P adsorbents used for lake restoration, it is essential to assess the effects that natural substances/ions have on P removal efficiency. In this study, adsorption experimental data from 20 aquatic ecosystems, have been used for obtaining a LMM. This novel methodological approach has determined that the major drivers controlling P removal are: type of adsorbent, the adsorbent dosage and the concentration of humic substances. The studied adsorbents showed the following P removal efficiency order: Phoslock<sup>®</sup> (~100%) > CFH-12<sup>®</sup> (85–100%) > Fe-Si-La (70–100%) > FeHQ (0–15%) for both adsorbent:P ratios 0.2 and 0.5 g mg<sup>-1</sup>. Phoslock<sup>®</sup> was the most efficient P adsorbent independently of adsorbent dosage and water chemical composition. Contrarily to previous studies, FeHQ showed a low P



**Fig. 4.** P removal efficiency (Pr in %) of non magnetic adsorbents (CFH-12<sup>®</sup> and Phoslock<sup>®</sup>) for each lake. High adsorbent dosage in black and low in grey. Standard deviation is represented by vertical bars.



**Fig. 5.** P removal efficiency (Pr in %) of magnetic adsorbents (Fe-Si-La and FeHQ) for each lake. High adsorbent dosage in black and low in grey. Standard deviation is represented by vertical bars.

uptake due to a notable underdosing. P removal efficiency of CFH-12<sup>®</sup> and Fe-Si-La was negatively affected by high color values (high

concentration of humic substances). With respect to the P removal efficiency, the non-magnetic adsorbents employed in this work



were more efficient than their magnetic counterparts. However, it is important to remark that the former cannot be reused. All in all, differences in chemical properties -adsorption capacity or surface charge- and the affinity to the adsorbate and to other substances/ions play an important role in the performance of each adsorbent to remove P.

## Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Junta de Andalucía project P10-RNM-6630 [Proyectos de Excelencia, Spain]; MINECO CTM 2013–46951-R project; and MAT 2016–78778-R project [Spain]. Authors would also like to thank Fernando Ortega for helping in the sampling procedure.

## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.05.029>.

## References

- Alcorlo, P., Baltanás, A., Montes, C., 1996. Is it possible to predict the salinity of Iberian salt lakes from their conductivity? *Hydrobiologia* 330, 137–142.
- Álvarez-Cobelas, M., Rojo, C., Angeler, D.G., 2005. Mediterranean limnology: current status, gaps and the future. *J. Limnol.* 64, 13–29. <https://doi.org/10.4081/jlimnol.2005.13>.
- Antelo, J., Arce, F., Avena, M., Fiol, S., López, R., Macías, F., 2007. Adsorption of a soil humic acid at the surface of goethite and its competitive interaction with phosphate. *Geoderma* 138, 12–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2006.10.011>.
- APHA, 1995. *Standard Methods for Examination of Water and Wastewater*, nineteenth ed. APHA-AWWA-WPCF, Washington, DC, USA.
- Auvray, F., van Hullebusch, E.D., Deluchat, V., Baudu, M., 2006. Laboratory investigation of the phosphorus removal (SRP and TP) from eutrophic lake water treated with aluminium. *Water Res.* 40, 2713–2719. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2006.04.042>.
- Banerjee, S., Chattopadhyaya, M.C., 2017. Adsorption characteristics for the removal of a toxic dye, tartrazine from aqueous solutions by a low cost agricultural by-product. *Arab. J. Chem.* 10, S1629–S1638. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arabj.2013.06.005>.
- Bartoni, K., 2012. MuMIn: Multi-model Inference. R Package Version 1.7.2. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=MuMIn>.
- Bates, D., Mächler, M., Bolker, B., Walker, S., 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. *J. Stat. Software* 67, 1–48. <https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v067.i01>.
- Bennett, E.M., Carpenter, S.R., Caraco, N.F., 2001. Human impact on erodable phosphorus and Eutrophication: a global perspective. *Bioscience* 51, 227–234.
- Berkowitz, J., Anderson, M.A., Graham, R.C., 2005. Laboratory investigation of aluminum solubility and solid-phase properties following alum treatment of lake waters. *Water Res.* 39, 3918–3928. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2005.06.025>.
- Bolker, B.M., Brooks, M.E., Clark, C.J., Geange, S.W., Poulsen, J.R., Stevens, M.H.H., White, J.S.S., 2009. Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and evolution. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 24, 127–135.
- Borggaard, O.K., Raben-Lange, B., Gimsing, A.L., Strobel, B.W., 2005. Influence of humic substances on phosphate adsorption by aluminium and iron oxides. *Geoderma* 127, 270–279. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2004.12.011>.
- Brezovska, S., Marina, B., Panova, B., Burevski, D., Bosevska, V., Stojanovska, L., 2004. The adsorption characteristics and porous structure of bentonite adsorbents as determined from the adsorption isotherms of benzene vapor. *J. Serb. Chem. Soc.* 69, 145–151.
- Burkholder, J.M., 2000. Eutrophication and oligotrophication, p. 649–670. In: Levin, S. (Ed.), *Encyclop. Biodivers*, vol. 2. Academic Press, New York.
- Burnham, K.P., Anderson, D.R., 1998. *Model Selection and Inference. A Practical Information-theoretic Approach*, second ed. Springer, New York.
- Carpenter, S.R., 2005. Eutrophication of aquatic ecosystems: bistability and soil phosphorus. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 102, 10002–10005. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0503959102>.
- Chen, X., 2015. Modelling of experimental adsorption isotherm data. *Information* 6, 14–22. <https://doi.org/10.3390/info6010014>.
- Cooke, G.D., Welch, E.B., Peterson, S.A., Nichols, S.A., 2005. *Restoration and Management of Lakes and Reservoirs*, third ed. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, p. 548.
- Copetti, D., Finsterle, K., Marziali, L., Stefani, F., Tartari, G., Douglas, G., Reitzel, K., Spears, B.M., Winfield, I.J., Crosa, G., D'Haese, P., Yasseri, S., Lürling, M., 2016. Eutrophication management in surface waters using lanthanum modified bentonite: a review. *Water Res.* 97, 162–174. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.11.056>.
- Cordell, D., Drangert, J.O., White, S., 2009. The story of phosphorus: global food security and food for thought. *Global Environ. Change* 19, 292–305. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2008.10.009>.
- Cuthbert, I.D., del Giorgio, P., 1992. Towards a standard method of measuring color in freshwater. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* 37, 1319–1326.
- de Rosario-Martínez, H.D.R., 2015. Analysing interactions of fitted models. <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/phia/vignettes/phia.pdf>.
- de Vicente, I., Jensen, H.S., Andersen, F.Ø., 2008. Factors affecting phosphate adsorption to aluminum in lake water: implications for lake restoration. *Sci. Total Environ.* 389, 29–36. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2007.08.040>.
- de Vicente, I., Merino-Martos, A., Cruz-Pizarro, L., de Vicente, J., 2010a. On the use of magnetic nano and microparticles for lake restoration. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 181, 375–381. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.05.020>.
- de Vicente, I., Ortega-Retuerta, E., Mazuecos, I., Pace, M., Cole, J., Reche, I., 2010b. Variation in transparent exopolymer particles in relation to biological and chemical factors in two contrasting lake districts. *Aquat. Sci.* 72, 443–453. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00027-010-0147-6>.
- de Vicente, I., Merino-Martos, A., Guerrero, F., Amores, V., de Vicente, J., 2011. Chemical interferences when using high gradient magnetic separation for phosphate removal: consequences for lake restoration. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 192, 995–1001. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2011.05.090>.
- Deppe, T., Benndorf, J., 2002. Phosphorus reduction in a shallow hypereutrophic reservoir by in-lake dosage of ferrous iron. *Water Res.* 36, 4525–4534. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354\(02\)00193-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(02)00193-8).
- Dithmer, L., Nielsen, U.G., Lundberg, D., Reitzel, K., 2016. Influence of Dissolved Organic Carbon on the Efficiency of P Sequestration by a Lanthanum Modified Clay, vol. 97, pp. 39–46. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.07.003>.
- Feng, L., Cao, M., Ma, X., Zhu, Y., Hu, C., 2012. Superparamagnetic high-surface-area Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanoparticles as adsorbents for arsenic removal. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 217, 439–446.
- Funes, A., Arco, A., Álvarez-Manzaneda, I., de Vicente, J., de Vicente, I., 2017. A microcosm experiment to determine the consequences of magnetic microparticles application on water quality and sediment phosphorus pools. *Sci. Total Environ.* 579, 245–253. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.11.120>.
- Fuchs, E., Funes, A., Saar, K., Reitzel, K., Jensen, H.S., 2018. Evaluation of dried amorphous ferric hydroxide CFH-12<sup>®</sup> as agent for binding bioavailable phosphorus in lake sediments. *Sci. Total Environ.* 628–629, 990–996. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.02.059>.
- Funes, A., de Vicente, J., Cruz-Pizarro, L., de Vicente, I., 2014. The influence of pH on manganese removal by magnetic microparticles in solution. *Water Res.* 53, 110–122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.01.029>.
- Gibbs, M.M., 1979. A simple method for the rapid determination of iron in natural waters. *Water Res.* 13, 295–297. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354\(79\)90209-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(79)90209-4).
- Gibbs, M., Özkundakci, D., 2011. Effects of a modified zeolite on P and N processes and fluxes across the lake sediment-water interface using core incubations. *Hydrobiologia* 661, 21–35. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10750-009-0071-8>.
- Hammer, U.T., 1986. *Saline lake ecosystems of the world*. Dr. W. Junk Publishers, Dordrecht, 616 pp.
- Hothorn, T., Bretz, F., Westfall, P., Heiberger, R.M., Schuetzenmeister, A., 2013. Package “multcomp”. <http://cran.rproject.org/web/packages/multcomp/multcomp.pdf>.
- Hua, M., Zhang, S., Pan, B., Zhang, W., Lv, L., Zhang, Q., 2012. Heavy metal removal from water/wastewater by nanosized metal oxides: a review. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 211, 317–331.
- Hupfer, M., Hilt, S., 2008. Lake restoration. *Encycl. Ecol.* 2080–2093.
- Huser, B.J., Egemose, S., Harper, H., Hupfer, M., Jensen, H., Pilgrim, K.M., Reitzel, K., Rydin, E., Futter, M., 2016. Longevity and effectiveness of aluminum addition to reduce sediment phosphorus release and restore lake water quality. *Water Res.* 97, 122–132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.06.051>.
- Illés, E., Tombácz, E., 2004. The role of variable surface charge and surface complexation in the adsorption of humic acid on magnetite. *Colloids Surfaces A Physicochem. Eng. Asp.* 230, 99–109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfa.2003.09.017>.
- Lai, L., Xie, Q., Chi, L., Gu, W., Wu, D., 2016. Adsorption of phosphate from water by easily separable Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>@SiO<sub>2</sub> core/shell magnetic nanoparticles functionalized with hydrous lanthanum oxide. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 465, 76–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2015.11.043>.
- Li, M., Liu, J., Xu, Y., Qian, G., 2016. Phosphate adsorption on metal oxides and metal hydroxides: a comparative review. *Environ. Rev.* 24, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1139/er-2015-0080>.
- Luengo, C., Brigante, M., Avena, M., 2007. Adsorption kinetics of phosphate and arsenate on goethite. A comparative study. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* 311, 354–360. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2007.03.027>.
- Lukkari, K., Hartikainen, H., Leivuori, M., 2007. Fractionation of sediment phosphorus revisited. I: fractionation steps and their biogeochemical basis. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* Meth. 5, 433–444.
- Lürling, M., Waajen, G., Van Oosterhout, F., 2014. Humic substances interfere with phosphate removal by lanthanum modified clay in controlling eutrophication. *Water Res.* 54, 78–88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2014.01.059>.
- Lyngsie, G., Borggaard, O.K., Hansen, H.C.B., 2014. A three-step test of phosphate sorption efficiency of potential agricultural drainage filter materials. *Water Res.* 51, 256–265. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.10.061>.
- Meis, S., Spears, B.M., Maberly, S.C., O'Malley, M.B., Perkins, R.G., 2012. Sediment amendment with Phoslock<sup>®</sup> in Clatto Reservoir (Dundee, UK): investigating

- changes in sediment elemental composition and phosphorus fractionation. *J. Environ. Manag.* 93, 185–193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2011.09.015>.
- Merino-Martos, A., de Vicente, J., Cruz-Pizarro, L., de Vicente, I., 2011. Setting up high gradient magnetic separation for combating eutrophication of inland waters. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 186, 2068–2074. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2010.12.118>.
- Merino-Martos, A., de Vicente, J., Cruz-Pizarro, L., de Vicente, I., 2015. Single-ion interferences when using magnetic microparticles for phosphorus removal in aquatic ecosystems. *Limnetica* 34, 17–28.
- Murphy, J., Riley, J.P., 1962. A modified single solution method for the determination of phosphate in natural waters. *Anal. Chim. Acta* 27, 31–36. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670\(00\)88444-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0003-2670(00)88444-5).
- Nguyen, C., Do, D.D., 2001. The Dubinin – radushkevich equation and the underlying microscopic adsorption description. *Carbon* 39, 1327–1336.
- Philippe, A., Schaumann, G.E., 2014. Interactions of dissolved organic matter with natural and engineered inorganic colloids: a Review. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 8946–8962. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/es502342r>.
- Posada, D., Buckley, T.R., 2004. Model selection and model averaging in phylogenetics: advantages of Akaike Information Criterion and bayesian approaches over likelihood ratio tests. *Syst. Biol.* 53, 793–808.
- R Core Team, 2017. R: a Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org/>.
- Reche, I., Pace, M.L., 2002. Linking dynamics of dissolved organic carbon in a forested lake with environmental factors. *Biogeochemistry* 61, 21–36. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020234900383>.
- Reitzel, K., Andersen, F.Ø., Egemose, S., Jensen, H.S., 2013. Phosphate adsorption by lanthanum modified bentonite clay in fresh and brackish water. *Water Res.* 47, 2787–2796. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.02.051>.
- Robb, M., Greenop, B., Goss, Z., Douglas, G., Adeney, J., 2003. Application of Phoslock™, an innovative phosphorus binding clay, to two Western Australian waterways: preliminary findings. *Hydrobiologia* 494, 237–243. <http://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025478618611>.
- Sigg, L., Stumm, W., 1981. The interaction of anions and weak acids with the hydrous goethite ( $\alpha$ -FeOOH) surface. *Colloid. Surface.* 2, 101–117. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-6622\(81\)80001-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0166-6622(81)80001-7).
- Smith, V.H., 2009. Eutrophication. In: Likens, Gene E. (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Inland Waters*, vol. 3. Elsevier, Oxford, pp. 61–73.
- Veli, S., Alyüz, B., 2007. Adsorption of copper and zinc from aqueous solutions by using natural clay. *J. Hazard. Mater.* 149 (1), 226–233.
- Weng, L., van Riemsdijk, W.H., Hiemstra, T., 2008. Humic nanoparticles at the oxide - water interface : interactions with phosphate ion adsorption. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 8747–8752.
- Zamparas, M., Drosos, M., Georgiou, Y., Deligiannakis, Y., Zacharias, I., 2013. A novel bentonite-humic acid composite material Bephos® for removal of phosphate and ammonium from eutrophic waters. *Chem. Eng. J.* 225, 43–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2013.03.064>.